Lines in the cosmic microwave background spectrum from the epoch of cosmological helium recombination
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ABSTRACT

The main goal of this work is to calculate the contributions to the cosmological recombination spectrum due to bound-bound transitions of helium. We show that due to the presence of helium in the early Universe unique features appear in the total cosmological recombination spectrum. These may provide a unique observational possibility to determine the relative abundance of primordial helium, well before the formation of first stars. We include the effect of the tiny fraction of neutral hydrogen atoms on the dynamics of \(\text{He}^+\) \(\rightarrow\) \(\text{He}^0\) recombination at redshifts \(z \approx 2500\). As discussed recently, this process significantly accelerates \(\text{He}^+\) \(\rightarrow\) \(\text{He}^0\) recombination, resulting in rather narrow and distinct features in the associated recombination spectrum. In addition this process induces some emission within the hydrogen Lyman-\(\alpha\) line, before the actual epoch of hydrogen recombination round \(z \approx 1100–1500\). We also show that some of the fine structure transitions of neutral helium appear in absorption, again leaving unique traces in the Cosmic Microwave Background blackbody spectrum, which may allow to confirm our understanding of the early Universe and detailed atomic physics.
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1. Introduction

The recombination of helium practically does not influence the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) angular fluctuations, as measured with great success by WMAP (Bennett et al. 2003), since it occurred well before the Thomson visibility function defined by hydrogen recombination (Sunyaev & Zeldovich 1970) reaches its maximum. However, similar to the release of photons during the epoch of cosmological hydrogen recombination (Rubiño-Martín et al. 2006; Chluba et al. 2007; Chluba & Sunyaev 2006a), one does expect some emission of photons by helium, and the main goal of this paper is to calculate the contributions to the cosmological recombination spectrum due to bound-bound transitions of helium.

In our recent papers we computed the detailed cosmological recombination spectrum of hydrogen resulting from bound-bound (Rubiño-Martín et al. 2006; Chluba et al. 2007) and bound-free (Chluba & Sunyaev 2006a) transitions between atomic levels, including up to 100 shells, also taking into account the evolution of individual energetically degenerate angular momentum sub-states. We followed the ideas and suggestions of earlier investigations (Zeldovich et al. 1968; Peebles 1968; Dubrovich 1975; Bernstein et al. 1977; Beigman & Sunyaev 1978; Rybicki & dell’Antonio 1993; Dubrovich & Stolyarov 1995; Burgin 2003; Dubrovich & Shakhvorostova 2004; Kholupenko et al. 2005; Wong et al. 2006). Observations of these recombinational lines might provide an additional unbiased way to \textit{directly} determine the baryon density of the Universe (e.g. see Dubrovich (1975) and Bernstein et al. (1977), or more recently Kholupenko et al. (2005) and Chluba & Sunyaev (2007b)) and to obtain some additional information about the other key cosmological parameters, facing different degeneracies and observational challenges.

Obviously, direct evidence for the emission of extra \(\sim 5\) photons per recombining hydrogen atom (Chluba & Sunyaev 2006a) will be an \textit{unique proof} for the completeness of our understanding of the processes occurring at redshifts \(z \sim 1400\), i.e. before the CMB angular fluctuations were actually formed. From this point of view an observation of lines emitted during \(\text{He}^+\) \(\rightarrow\) \(\text{He}^0\) close to \(z \sim 6000\), and \(\text{He}^+\) \(\rightarrow\) \(\text{He}^0\) around \(z \sim 2500\) will be an even more impressive confirmation of the predictions within the standard hot big bang model of the Universe, realising that nowadays exact computations using the full strength of atomic physics, kinetics and radiative transfer in principle should allow a prediction of the cosmological recombination spectrum from both epochs with very high precision.

The first attempt to estimate the emission arising from helium recombination was made by Dubrovich & Stolyarov (1997). However, only now detailed numerical computations are becoming feasible, also due to the fact that atomic physicists began to publish \textit{accurate} and \textit{user-friendly} transition rates (Drake & Morton 2007; Beigman & Vainshtein 2007) for neutral helium, including singlet-triplet transitions, which very strongly influence the recombination of helium.

According to the computations of nuclear reactions in the early Universe (Olive & Steigman 1995; Cyburt 2004), the abundance of helium is close to \(8\%\) percent of the number of hydrogen atoms, so naively only small additional distortions of the CMB blackbody spectrum due to helium recombination are expected. However, for helium there are \textit{two} epochs of recombination, a fact that at least doubles the possible amount of additional photons. Furthermore, \(\text{He}^+\) \(\rightarrow\) \(\text{He}^0\) recombination is very fast, in particular because there is a large quasi-constant
amount of free electrons belonging to hydrogen (Dubrovich & Stolyarov 1997). This implies that photons are emitted in a much shorter period, so that more narrow features are produced. It is also very impressive that the He $\text{III} \rightarrow \text{He II}$ recombination lines practically coincide and therefore amplify the corresponding hydron line (see Fig. 1). This is because the difference in the redshifts of the two recombinations is close $\sim 4.3$, when on the other hand the energy of similar transitions scales as $E \sim 4$ for He II, such that the two effects practically compensate each other.

The spectral distortion due to He $\text{II} \rightarrow \text{He I}$ recombination should have a completely different character. First, for small $n$ neutral helium has a much more complicated spectrum than hydrogenic atoms (e.g. highly probable fine-structure transitions). In addition, the ratio of the energies for the second and first shell is $\sim 2.1$ times higher than for hydrogenic atoms, while the energies of the highly excited levels are very close to hydrogenic. Since the transitions from the second to the first shell are controlling helium recombination, this leads to the situation that even for transitions among highly excited levels the corresponding $\Delta n = 1$-lines do not coincide with those emitted during hydrogen or He $\text{III} \rightarrow \text{He II}$ recombination.

Also it will be shown below (Sect. 5), that in the recombinational spectrum some fine-structure lines become very bright and that two of them are actually appearing in absorption. These features lead to additional non-uniformities in the spectral variability structure of the total CMB spectral distortion from recombination, where some of the maxima are amplified and others are diminished. This may open an unique possibility to separate the contributions of helium and hydrogen, thereby allowing to measure the pre-stellar abundance of helium in the Universe. Until now not even one direct method for such a measurement is known.

For the computations of the recombinational helium spectrum we are crucially dependent on the recombination history of helium and additional processes that affect the standard picture strongly. In this context, probably the most important physical mechanism is connected with the continuum absorption of the permitted 584 Å and intercombinational 591 Å line by a very small amount of neutral hydrogen present in ionization equilibrium during the time of He $\text{II} \rightarrow \text{He I}$ recombination (Hu et al. 1995; Switzer & Hirata 2007a; Khlopunenko et al. 2007). Switzer & Hirata (2007a) and Khlopunenko et al. (2007) recently made the first detailed analysis of this problem, and included it for the computations of the He $\text{II} \rightarrow \text{He I}$ recombination history, showing that the recombination of neutral helium is significantly faster. Here we reanalyse this process, and discuss in detail some physical aspects of the escape problem in the aforementioned lines.

We first consider two “extreme” cases for the escape problem, which can be treated analytically: (i) where line scattering leads to complete redistribution of photons over the line profile, and (ii) where there is no redistribution. Moreover, we develop a useful 1D integral approximation for the escape probability which permit us to treat any of these two cases without increasing the computation time significantly. Our final results for the escape probability in the more realistic case of partial redistribution (or equivalently for coherent scattering in the rest frame of the atom) are based on detailed numerical computations which will be presented in a separate paper (Chluba et al. 2007, in preparation). One can then obtain a sufficiently accurate description of the real dynamics by juding the escape probability using the “no redistribution” case mentioned above with a certain function that can be obtained by comparison with the full numerical computations. Our final results for the escape probability are in very good agreement with those obtained by Switzer & Hirata (2007a) (see discussion in Sect. 3).

Interestingly, the hydrogen continuum process leaves additional distinct trace in the cosmological recombination spectrum, because the continuum absorption of the He I photons leads to significant early emission in the H I Ly-$\alpha$ transition at $\nu \sim 1300$ GHz, well separated from the Ly-$\alpha$ line originating during hydrogen recombination at $\nu \gtrsim 1500$ GHz, and containing about 7% of all photons that were released in the hydrogen 2$p$-1$s$ transition. The amplitude and width of this feature is completely determined by the conditions under which the above process occurs.

The main result of this paper are the bound-bound spectra of He I and He II from the epoch of cosmological recombination (see Fig. 1). The strongest additions to the cosmological hydrogen recombination spectrum due to the presence of helium lines reach values up to 30–40% in several frequency bands. This strongly exceeds (roughly by a factor of four) the relative abundance ratio of helium to hydrogen, raising hopes that these distortions will be found once the recombinational lines will become observable.

It is important to note that for the computations in this paper (see Fig. 1), we do not include the impact of feedback processes on the computed recombinational lines. Among all the possible feedback mechanisms, the most relevant for the recombinational spectrum is the pure continuum absorption (far away from the resonances) of the remaining He $2p^2P_1 - 1s^2S_0$ intercombination-line and He $2p^2P_1 - 1s^2S_0$ photons. Due to this process, these photons will be finally absorbed, and the corresponding features on the final spectrum will disappear, producing additional photons that will emerge mainly through the Ly$\alpha$ line in the hydrogen spectrum.

2. Basic equations. The Helium atom

A description of the basic formalism and equations to calculate the time-evolution of the populations for different atomic species (hydrogen or helium) within a multi-level code during the epoch of cosmological recombination ($800 < z < 7000$) can be found in Seager et al. (2000). In this paper, we follow the same approach and notation that was used in our previous works for the computation of the hydrogen recombination spectrum (Rubino-Martín et al. 2006; Chluba et al. 2007). The codes used for the computations presented here were obtained as an extension of the existing ones, by including the equations for the population of the He I levels. As in our previous works, we developed two independent implementations in order to double-check all our results.

For all the results presented in this paper we use the same values of the cosmological parameters which were adopted in our previous works, namely (Bennett et al. 2003): $\Omega_b = 0.0444$, $\Omega_m = 1$, $\Omega_m = 0.2678$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7322$, $Y_p = 0.24$ and $h = 0.71$.

2.1. He I model atom

In our computations we follow in detail the evolution of the level populations within neutral helium, including up to $n_{\text{max}} = 30$.
2.1.1. Energies

For each level \( n \) of the helium atom, we distinguish between "singlet" (\( S = 0 \)) and "triplet" (\( S = 1 \)) states. Up to \( n = 10 \), we follow separately all levels with different total angular momentum \( J \). This permits us to investigate in detail the fine structure lines appearing from cosmological recombination. Above \( n = 10 \), we do not resolve in \( J \) quantum number, and only LS-coupling is considered. Each individual level is quoted using the standard "term symbols" as \( n^L S J \), and the spectroscopic notation is used. When considering \( J \)-resolved levels, the degeneracy factor is given by \( g_i = (2J + 1) \), while in opposite case we would have \( g_i = (2S + 1)(2L + 1) \).

To completely define our model atom, we need to specify for each level \( i = \{n, L, S, J\} \), the energy, \( E_i \), and photoionization cross-section, \( \sigma_\nu(\nu) \), as a function of frequency, which is important in order to take into account the effect of stimulated recombination to high levels. Finally, a table with the Einstein coefficients, \( A_{i \to j} \), and the corresponding wavelengths for all the allowed transitions has to be given.

2.1.2. Photoionization cross-sections

For \( n < 10 \), the photoionization cross-sections, \( \sigma_\nu(\nu) \), are taken from TOPPhase\(^3\) database (Cunto et al. 1993). We note that this database does not contain \( J \)-resolved information and only cross-sections for \( L \leq 3 \) can be found. To obtain \( J \)-resolved cross-section we assume that the cross-section for each sub-level is identical, i.e.

\[
\sigma_{(n,L,S,J)\to\nu}(\nu) = \sigma_{(n,L,S,J)\to\nu}(\nu).
\]

There are two important issues that we would like to stress. First of all, there are large gaps in the tables from TOPbase. Up to \( n = 5 \), we computed the missing cross-sections using the expressions from Smits (1996) and Benjamin et al. (1999)

\(^3\) At http://vizier.u-strasbg.fr/topbase/topbase.html
for the spontaneous photorecombination rates to infer the photoionization rates $R_{ic}$. With this procedure it is not possible to include the effect of stimulated recombination self-consistently. We estimated the errors due to this effect using the replacement $R_{ic} \rightarrow R_{ic} \times (1 + n_{\text{bb}}(\nu_L))$, where $n_{\text{bb}}(\nu_L)$ is the blackbody photon occupation number at the ionization threshold of the level, and found changes of the order of 10% - 20%. For all other levels we follow Bauman et al. (2005) and adopt re-scaled hydrogenic cross-section. This is done using our computations of $\sigma_c(\nu)$ for the hydrogen atom (based on Karzas & Latter 1961; Storey & Hummer 1991), and shifting the threshold frequency accordingly.

Secondly, we would like to point out that the cross-sections provided in TOPbase are sparsely sampled. For example the power-law behaviour up to twice the threshold frequency is usually given by $\sim 10$ points. Furthermore, due to auto-ionization several resonances exist at large distances above the ionization threshold, and many of these extremely narrow features are represented by 1 point. Fortunately, because of the exponential cut-off from the blackbody spectrum these resonances do not affect $R_{ic}$ significantly. Still we estimate the error budget using these cross-section to be $\sim 10%$.

A summary of the final values adopted for each particular level in our He I model atom is also shown in Figure 2. We finally note that, in order to speed up our computations, we tabulate the photoionization rate during the initialization state of our codes, which involves one-dimensional integral over the (blackbody) ambient photon field, and we interpolate over this function when needed. At every particular redshift, the corresponding photorecombination rate is computed using the detailed balance relation, which is satisfied with high precision due to the fact that at the redshifts of interest, the electron temperature and the radiation temperature practically do no differ.

2.1.3. Transition probabilities

Our basic database for the transition probabilities is taken from Drake & Morton (2007), which is practically complete for the first 10 shells, and includes 937 transitions between J-resolved states. This database does also contains spin-forbidden transitions (i.e. singlet-triplet and triplet-singlet), which take into account the mixing of singlet and triplet wave functions.

However, there are some transitions missing in these tables, which involve lower levels with $n \geq 8$ and $L \geq 7$ for the singlet, and $n > 7$ and $L > 6$ for the triplet states. These gaps are filled using re-scaled hydrogenic values as follows: for a given transition $[n, L, S, J] \rightarrow [n', L', S', J']$, we first obtain the non-J-resolved transition probability $A^\text{He}_{nl\rightarrow n'l'}$ scaling by the ratio of the transition frequencies to the third power. Whenever J-resolved information for the level energies is available, we also compute the weighted mean transition frequency. However, the corresponding corrections are small. To obtain the final estimate for the J-resolved value, we assume that

$$A^\text{He}_{nLJ \rightarrow n'L'J'} = \frac{(2J' + 1)}{(2L' + 1)(2S' + 1)} A^\text{He}_{nl \rightarrow n'l'}$$

These expressions are also used to include all transitions involving levels with $n, n' > 10$, and for those between $n > 10$ and $n' \leq 10$ states, adopting the corresponding average over $J$ and $J'$. For the case of $n_{\text{max}} = 30$, our final model contains 80,297 bound-bound transitions.

Finally, we note that once the energies of all levels are obtained, the wavelengths for all transitions are calculated consistently using the respective upper ($E_u$) and lower ($E_i$) energy levels as $\nu_d = (E_u - E_i)/\hbar$. This is important in order to guarantee that we recover the correct local thermodynamic equilibrium solution at high redshifts (see discussion in Sec. 3.2.1 of Rubiño-Martín et al. 2006).

2.1.4. Two-photon decay and non-dipole transitions

Apart from the aforementioned transitions, we also include in our computations the two photon decays of the $2^1S_0$ and $2^3S_1$ levels. We adopt the values $A_{2^1S_0 \rightarrow 2^1S_0} = 51.3 \text{s}^{-1}$ and $A_{2^3S_1 \rightarrow 2^3S_1} = 4.09 \times 10^{-9} \text{s}^{-1}$ (Drake et al. 1969).

Our final spectrum also contains the contribution of the $2^3S_0$ two-photon decay spectrum, which is computed like for the hydrogen case (see e.g. Eq. 3 in Rubiño-Martín et al. 2006). The fit to the profile function (Drake 1986) for this transition is taken from Switzer & Hirata (2007a).

We also included some additional low probability non-dipole transitions (Lach & Pachucki 2001), but in agreement with previous studies found them to be negligible.

2.2. He II model atom

For singly ionized helium we use hydrogenic formulae (see e.g. Rubiño-Martín et al. 2006) with re-scaled transition frequencies (see also Switzer & Hirata 2007a). The 2s two-photon decay profile is modelled using the one for hydrogen, adopting the (re-scaled) value of $A^{\text{He II}}_{2s \rightarrow 1s} = 526.5 \text{s}^{-1}$.

3. Inclusion of the hydrogen continuum opacity

In order to include the effect of absorption of photons close to the optically thick resonant transitions of helium during He II $\rightarrow$ He I recombination due to the presence of neutral hydrogen, one has to study in detail how the photons escape in the helium lines. This problem has been solved by two of us using a diffusion code, and the results will be presented in a separate paper (Chluba & Sunyaev 2008, in preparation).

For the purposes of this paper, the important conclusion is that the results obtained using this diffusion code are in rather good agreement with those presented in Switzer & Hirata (2007a), showing that for the interaction of photons with the considered resonances, the hypothesis of complete redistribution of the photons over the Voigt profile, $\phi(x)$ (see Appendix A for definitions), is not correct, and may lead to significant differences, for the He II $2^1P_1 \rightarrow 1^1S_0$ transition. However, that is not the case for the He I $2^3P_1 \rightarrow 1^1S_0$ intercombination line, where the real dynamics is very close to the full redistribution case.

3.1. The escape probability in the He I lines

For the computations of the He II $\rightarrow$ He I spectrum in this paper, we make an ansatz about the shape of the escape probability in these lines, which is described below. This hypothesis permit us to efficiently compute the escape probability in our codes, without reducing the computational time significantly. This ansatz has been tested against the full results (Chluba & Sunyaev 2008, in preparation), and is found to produce accurate results for the spectrum. To present it, we first describe two particular “limiting” cases for the escape problem: the complete redistribution (or incoherent scattering), and the no redistribution case (or coherent scattering in the lab frame). The realistic case will be re-
the transition frequency of the considered resonance and to the line center. For this reason the term connected with emission of practically all photons are emitted very close to the ionization frequency of the hydrogen ground state, $H$ is the Hubble expansion factor, and $v_{\text{he}}$ is the transition frequency of the considered helium resonance. The Doppler width, $\Delta V_D$, of the line due to the motion of helium atoms is defined in Appendix A.

The computational details about the numerical integration of Eq. 2, as well as the derivation of a one-dimensional integral approximation to the full 2-dimensional integral are discussed in Appendix B.

3.1.2. No redistribution (or coherent scattering in the lab frame)

This case corresponds to a situation in which every photon coming through the line is emitted again with the same frequency. During recombination this is a very good approximation in the very distant damping wings of the resonance. In practise, this case can be treated using the formalism described in Switzer & Hirata (2007a). For every transition $u \rightarrow l$, we need to define the following quantity

$$ f_{u \rightarrow l} = \frac{R_{\text{out}}}{A_{u \rightarrow l} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i \rightarrow l}} $$

where $R_{\text{out}}$ is the sum of the rates of all the possible ways of leaving the upper level but excluding the considered resonance, i.e. $R_{\text{out}} = R_{i \rightarrow l} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i \rightarrow l}$. In this equation, we have introduced the (bound-bound) rates, which are computed as

$$ R_{u \rightarrow l} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} A_{u \rightarrow l}[1 + n_{\text{hh}}(v_{\text{hh}})], & E_i < E_u \\ A_{i \rightarrow l}(g_i / g_u)n_{\text{hh}}(v_{\text{hh}}), & E_i > E_u \end{array} \right. $$

and the photoionization rate, $R_{\text{out}}$. This quantity, $f_{u \rightarrow l}$, gives the fractional contribution to the overall width of the upper level of all possible transitions leaving the upper level except for the resonance. In other words, $f_{u \rightarrow l}$ represents the branching fraction for absorption of a line photon to result in incoherent scattering. During helium recombination $f_{u \rightarrow l} \sim 10^{-3}$ for the HeI $2^3P_1 \rightarrow 1^3S_0$ transition and close to unity for HeI $2^3P_1 \rightarrow 1^3S_0$ intercombination line.

Once we have obtained this quantity for the considered transition, the corresponding escape probability in the case of fully

---

4 In addition, the used approximation $\tilde{v}_l N_e = v_0 \tilde{N}_e$, where $v_0$ is the transition frequency of the considered resonance and $N_e = 1/e$ demand that the obtained solution is only considered sufficiently close to the line center. For this reason the term connected with emission of photon due to the recombination of hydrogen (see definition of $I_L$ in Switzer & Hirata (2007a)) should be neglected, since in this process practically all photons are emitted very close to the ionization frequency $v_0^I \ll v_0$ of hydrogen.
The correction to the escape probability due to the hydrogen continuum opacity is shown in several approaches: (a) full redistribution case: the escape probability is obtained with Eq. (B.3); (b) no redistribution case: the 1D approximation $P_{\text{esc}}$ reduces to the use of equation 2, but evaluating it at $f_{\nu=\iota T}$ instead of at $T_\Sigma$; while $r_\Sigma$ remains unchanged. For the computations of this paper, we propose and test an ansatz which permit us to compute efficiently the escape probability. Our basic assumption is that the ratio of the escape probability in the complete problem (partial redistribution case) to the escape probability in the problem with no redistribution is a constant number for a given redshift, or equivalently, it has a very small dependence on the recombination history. In that case, we can use this function (the ratio of those two cases) to judge the real escape probability in our code in a very fast way. The important thing is that we only need to compute a single solution of the complete problem in order to tabulate the fudge function. Moreover, the reference case (the no redistribution case) is fully analytic, and using our 1D integral approximation described in Appendix B, it is obtained very fast. Summarising, this scheme permit us to compute the escape probability with high accuracy in our codes, without the need of interpolating using pre-computed tables.

In practise, we use the solution for the recombination history which was obtained within the no redistribution approximation, and we compute the corresponding escape probability for a given cosmology. If we make a further iteration, by recomputing the new recombination history using the new escape probability, we find that the result practically does not change. For the He I $2^3P_1 - 1^1S_0$ intercombination-line escape probability is always close to the full redistribution case, so for this line we directly consider this approximation for the computations.

3.2. Results for $\Delta P_{\text{esc}}$

In this paper, we only consider the corrections to the escape probability for the He I $2^3P_1 - 1^1S_0$ transition and He I $2^3P_1 - 1^1S_0$ intercombination-line. In principle, all the other $n^3P_1 - 1^1S_0$ and spin-forbidden transitions are also affected, by the presence of neutral hydrogen, but the effect is smaller, and we omit these additional corrections for the moment.

In Fig. 3 we show different contributions to the escape probability of the two considered transitions, computed within different approximations discussed in the last subsection. In our computations, for the He I $2^3P_1 - 1^1S_0$ transition the effect of hydrogen is starting to become important below $z \approx 2400 - 2500$, whereas in the case of the He I $2^3P_1 - 1^1S_0$ intercombination-line the escape probability is strongly modified only at $z \lesssim 1800 - 1900$. One can also clearly see, as illustrated for the complete redistribution approach, that in both cases the 1D-approximation works extremely well at nearly all relevant redshifts. In particular, the differences are small where the deviations between the inner integrand in Eq. 2, and its analytic approximation deduced from Eq. (B.3) are small (see Fig. B.1, and the discussion in Appendix B).

For the He I $2^3P_1 - 1^1S_0$ transition, the departure of the escape probability with respect to the full redistribution case is very important, being at least one order of magnitude different at redshifts below $z \approx 2200$. Moreover, the full redistribution case becomes important at earlier redshifts ($z \sim 2600 - 2700$), thus producing a recombination dynamics which would be much closer to the Saha solution. In other words, the assumption of complete redistribution significantly overestimates the escape rate of photons from the He I $2^3P_1 - 1^1S_0$ transition, and thus would artificially accelerate He II $\rightarrow$ He I recombination. The final (fudged) solution is in reality much more close to the “no redistribution” case, although the differences with respect to this

\begin{equation}
P_{\text{esc}} = \frac{f_{\nu=\iota T}}{1 - (1 - f_{\nu=\iota T})P}
\end{equation}

where

\begin{equation}
P = P_S(f_{\nu=\iota T}S) + \Delta P_{\text{esc}}(f_{\nu=\iota T}S, r_{\Sigma})
\end{equation}

coherent scattering is given by

3.1.3. Partial redistribution case. Our ansatz

The detailed treatment of the problem with partial redistribution is computationally demanding. Our results are based on a diffusion code (Chluba & Sunyaev 2008, in preparation), which requires ~ 1 day on a single 3 GHz processor to treat one cosmology. The other approach of this problem, based on a Monte Carlo method (Switzer & Hirata 2007a) is equally demanding.
later case are still significant (roughly a factor of 2 at $z \sim 1730$). Comparing our results with other recent computations, we find that our final (fudged) solution is very close to the Switzer & Hirata (2007a) computation, which was based on a Monte Carlo analysis of the escape problem. There are still small differences around redshifts $z \sim 2200 - 2400$, which could be probably due to the fact that we do not include the modified escape for higher levels. However, the formula given in Kholupenko et al. (2007) only works at very low redshifts.

For the HeI $2^3P_1 \rightarrow 1^1S_0$ intercombination-transition, the situation is different. The computations, based on the diffusion code, show that for this line one can approximate the escape probability using complete redistribution at the level of $\sim 10\%$. Therefore, for the computations in this paper, we adopt this approximation for this particular transition. The lower panel of Fig. 3 also shows the comparison between our escape probability and those obtained in other recent publications. The agreement with the Switzer & Hirata (2007a) result is again very remarkable, except for the redshift region around $z \sim 1900$. However, we have checked that this difference is mainly due to the assumption of using the full redistribution solution for this line, and that this difference implies only small changes in the final recombination spectrum. For this transition the actual corrections due to electron scattering, which we neglected so far, are larger. Finally we note that, although in this case there is an apparent agreement at low redshifts with the Kholupenko et al. (2007) result, their computation corresponds to the quantity $\Delta P_{\text{esc}}$. Thus, when adding the contribution of the Sobolev escape, they have a value of the probability which exceeds unity.

### 3.3. Inclusion into the multi-level code

In order to account for the effect of the hydrogen continuum opacity during HeII $\rightarrow$ HeI recombination into our multi-level code several changes are necessary. The first and most obvious modification is the replacement of the Sobolev escape probability $P_S \rightarrow P_S + \Delta P_{\text{esc}}$ for the HeI $2^3P_1 \rightarrow 1^1S_0$ and HeI $2^3P_1 \rightarrow 1^1S_0$ intercombination-transition. Due to the above replacement more electrons are reaching the ground state of neutral helium, but no additional helium photons are released. Therefore in the computation of the helium spectrum the increase in the photons escape rate by $\Delta P_{\text{esc}}$ should not be included.

Given the usual net radiative transition rate $P_S \times \Delta R_{1/1}'$ from level $i$ to the helium ground state, the increase in the net transition rate due to the presence of neutral hydrogen atoms is given by $\Delta R_{1/1}' = \Delta P_{\text{esc}} \times \Delta R_{1/1}$. Since the corresponding photons associated with this transition are ionizing hydrogen atoms one has to add the rate $\Delta P_{\text{esc}}$ to the electron equation and subtract it from the hydrogen $1s$-equation. Although it is clear that, given this small addition of electrons to the continuum, the hydrogen ground state population will re-adjust within a very short time, it is still possible that the corresponding electrons will reach the ground state via various decay channels, including a cascade from highly excited levels, which may even end in the $2s$ level, yielding two photons in the two-photon decay transition. Instead of assuming that all electrons connected with the increase of the net transition rate, $\Delta R_{1/1}'$, are leading to the emission of a hydrogen Lyman-$\alpha$ photon only (as done in Kholupenko et al. 2007), this approach is more consistent. We shall see below that a part of the additional electrons indeed take more indirect routes to the hydrogen $1s$-level.

With these additions to our multi-level code it is possible to obtain both the ionization history and the helium and hydrogen recombination spectrum including the effect of the hydrogen continuum opacity as outlined in this Section.

### 4. The helium recombination history

The main goal of this paper is to compute the spectral distortions resulting from the bound-bound transitions of helium. However, since we are discussing several approximations to include the hydrogen absorption during the epoch of HeII $\rightarrow$ HeI recombination, we here shortly discuss the corresponding differences in the ionization fraction.

Figure 4 shows our results for the redshift-dependence of the free electron fraction $x_e = n_e / n_H$ during HeII $\rightarrow$ HeI recombination, using the three approximations for the escape probability in the HeI lines, as discussed above. Qualitatively, all three results (i.e. full redistribution, no redistribution and partial redistribution) agree with those found in some earlier studies (Kholupenko et al. 2007; Switzer & Hirata 2007a), showing that the inclusion of the hydrogen continuum opacity in the computation significantly speeds up recombination, making it closer to the Saha solution. Our (fudged) solution for the case of partial redistribution of photons in the resonance is in good agreement with the Switzer & Hirata (2007a), except for the small difference around $z \sim 2200$. As pointed out in the last section, these are likely due to the fact that we did not include the continuum opacity correction for higher transitions.

It is important to note that the incorrect hypothesis of full redistribution in the HeI $2^3P_1 \rightarrow 1^1S_0$ -resonance has a strong impact on the recombination history. In that case, the effect of continuum opacity on the escape probability becomes of importance at earlier times, shifting the redshift at which $x_e$ starts to depart from the solution without continuum opacity considerably. In addition, the period during which $x_e$ is very close to unity, i.e. just before hydrogen recombination starts, becomes considerably longer. Therefore, it is very important for a detailed analysis of the recombination history to treat properly the escape probability in this line.

So far we did not consider the effect of feedback in our computations and as shown in Switzer & Hirata (2007a) one does expect some additional delay of HeII $\rightarrow$ HeI recombination around $z \sim 2400$. However, looking at Fig. 12 in Switzer & Hirata (2007a), this process is not expected to alter the results by more than $10\% - 20\%$.

![Fig. 4. The ionization history during the epoch of HeII $\rightarrow$ HeI recombination for different approaches.](image-url)
Finally, we also mention that for computations of the electron fraction during the epoch of He \( \rightarrow \) He recombination, it is not necessary to include a very large number of shells. Unlike in the case of hydrogen recombination, the exponential tail of He \( \rightarrow \) He recombination, which potentially is the most sensitive to the completeness of the atomic model, is entirely buried by the large number of free electrons from hydrogen. In addition, practically no ionized helium atoms remain after recombination, although in the case of hydrogen a small residual fraction remains. This is because there are significantly more electrons per helium atom than for hydrogen, such that freeze-out for helium occurs at an exponentially lower level.

Our results suggest that for He \( \rightarrow \) He recombination the inclusion of 5 shells is already enough to capture the evolution of \( x_e \) during this epoch with precision better than 0.1%. This precision is sufficient if one is interested in cosmological parameter estimation from the angular power spectra (\( C_i \)'s) of the CMB. However, still rather significant modifications of the recombination history can be expected in particular from the feedback of issues to be mentioned here (e.g. see Switzer & Hirata 2007a).

5. Bound-Bound helium recombination spectra

In Fig. 1 we present the main result of this paper, namely the complete bound-bound helium recombination spectrum, arising both during the epoch of He \( \rightarrow \) He (5000 \( \leq z \leq 7000 \)), and He \( \rightarrow \) He recombination (1600 \( \leq z \leq 3000 \)). For comparison, we also included the results obtained in our previous computations for the H \( \rightarrow \) bound-bound recombination (Chluba et al. 2007), and added the additional line appearing as a consequence of the re-processing of He \( \rightarrow \) photons in the continuum of hydrogen, as described below (see Sect. 5.2). Also the two two-photon decay continua for all cases are shown. There are two important issues to be mentioned here:

(i) First, the helium spectral features (both for He \( \rightarrow \) and He \( \rightarrow \) i) are significantly narrower than those of the hydrogen recombination spectrum. This is due to the fact that for He \( \rightarrow \) recombination occurs significantly faster due to the inclusion of the hydrogen continuum opacity, and in the case of He \( \rightarrow \), because its recombination occurs much more close to Saha conditions in the first place. Even the inclusion of Doppler broadening due to electron scattering, as described in Sect. 5.5, is unable to change this aspect. As a consequence, both recombination spectra contain clear features in the low frequency domain (\( \nu \sim 1 \) GHz), where the H \( \rightarrow \) spectrum is practically featureless. This increase in the amplitude of variability of the recombinational radiation at low frequencies might help to detect these features in the future.

(ii) Secondly, the He \( \rightarrow \) recombination spectrum displays two negative features, at positions \( \nu \approx 145 \) and 270 GHz. This is qualitatively different from the case of the hydrogen and He \( \rightarrow \) spectra, where the net bound-bound spectra appear in emission. As we will discuss below, the reason for these features is directly connected with the dynamics of recombination. They are associated with transitions in which the lower state is effectively “blocked” for all downward transitions, such that faster channels to the 1\( ^1 S_0 \) level are provided through energetically higher levels.

We now discuss in detail some particular aspects of the recombination spectra.

5.1. Importance of the hydrogen continuum opacity for the bound-bound He \( \rightarrow \) spectrum

In Fig. 5 we show the comparison between the He \( \rightarrow \) spectrum in three cases, namely the case with hydrogen continuum opacity assuming full redistribution of photons in the resonance; the case with hydrogen continuum opacity assuming partial redistribution; and the case without the inclusion of the hydrogen continuum opacity in the computation.

The width of the lines, which is directly connected with the duration of the recombination process, is significantly smaller when including the effect of hydrogen continuum opacity. In addition, the peaks of the lines are shifted towards lower frequencies (i.e. higher redshifts). As a consequence, the spectrum has a richer structure as compared to the case of hydrogen, since the overlap of lines is smaller.

The full redistribution and partial redistribution spectra are very similar in the low frequency (\( \nu \leq 30 \) GHz) region. However, at higher frequencies, several differences appear. In particular, for the full redistribution computation there are three negative features instead of two. The spectrum for the case without continuum opacity is much smoother than the previous two, and presents only one negative feature. In addition, in this frequency regime (and specially for the strong feature at \( \nu \gtrsim 2000 \) GHz) it is seen that, due to the different speeds of the recombination process, the lines appear displaced towards lower frequencies (higher redshifts) as we move from the lower to the upper panel.

For the high frequency region (\( \nu > 100 \) GHz), we present a more detailed direct comparison in Fig. 6 between the cases of partial redistribution and the one without continuum opacity. In this figure, a linear scale in the vertical axis is used in order to emphasise the existence of the negative features. One can see that the relative contribution of the different lines is strongly altered. In general, all emission appearing above \( \nu \sim 500 \) GHz is suppressed, while at lower frequencies, some lines are enhanced. We can understand these changes as follows: the contributions appearing at \( \nu \sim 500 \) GHz correspond to the \( nP_1 \rightarrow 1S_0 \) series of neutral helium, the spin-forbidden transitions directly connecting to the ground state (\( nP_1 \rightarrow 1S_0 \)), and the two-photon decay of the 2\( S_0 \) singlet-state. The first two series contribute most to the strong feature at \( \nu \gtrsim 2000 \) (see Fig. 7 for some more detail), while the broad two-photon continuum dominates the spectrum in the vicinity of \( \nu \sim 1000 \) GHz. When the hydrogen continuum opacity is included, in our current implementation of the problem, only the lines of He \( \rightarrow \) 2\( P_1 \rightarrow 1S_0 \) and He \( \rightarrow \) 2\( P_1 \rightarrow 1S_0 \) intercombination-transition are directly affected, i.e. via the inclusion of \( \Delta P_{esc} \), whereas all the other lines are modified only indirectly because of to the change in the recombination dynamics and the relative importance of different escape channels.

Figure 8 of Wong & Scott (2007) shows that without the hydrogen continuum opacity the He \( \rightarrow \) 2\( P_1 \rightarrow 1S_0 \) channel defines the range of recombination at \( z \gtrsim 2400 \), while at \( z \lesssim 2400 \) the 2\( P_1 \rightarrow 1S_0 \) spin-forbidden and, to a smaller extent, the 2\( S_0 \) two-photon decay channel dominate. They computed that of all electrons that reach the ground state of helium 39.9% go through the He \( \rightarrow \) 2\( P_1 \rightarrow 1S_0 \) transition, 42.8% pass through the 2\( P_1 \rightarrow 1S_0 \) spin-forbidden transition and only 17.3% take the route via the 2\( S_0 \) two-photon decay channel.

In our computations including the hydrogen continuum opacity we have to keep in mind that there is a fraction of electrons that reach the helium ground due to continuum absorption by hydrogen, which then lead to the emission of additional photons in the H \( \rightarrow \) recombination spectrum. Direct integration of the total number of photons in the neutral helium spectrum around
all electrons that reach the ground state of helium pass through photons. Moreover, one can compute the number of photons in for the $2^1S_0$ transition. The $2^1S_0$ is modiﬁed because of the additional free electrons appearing in the vicinity of $1^1S_0$, while $1^1S_0$ spin-forbidden transitions.

The $2^1S_0$ two-photon channel only allows $\sim 8\%$ of all helium atoms to recombined, and $\sim 2\%$ go through the other $n^1P_1 - 1^1S_0$ and $2^3P_1 - 1^1S_0$ spin-forbidden transitions.

We note that the modiﬁcation of the dynamics of He i recombination is inﬂuencing the relative amplitude of other lines, such as the $3^3D - 2^3P$ (6680 Å) transition, which is strongly ampliﬁed, or the $3^3D - 2^3P$ (5877 Å) transition, which now appears in absorption. We will discuss these transitions in detail below.

To end this subsection, we remind again that those features in the vicinity of $\nu \sim 2000$ GHz arising from the He i $2^3P_1 - 1^1S_0$ and He i $2^3P_1 - 1^1S_0$ intercombination-transitions will not be observed in the real spectrum, because of feedback processes connected with HI continuum absorption at lower redshifts will take away these photons and will produce additional distortions in the HI spectrum.

5.2. Importance of the hydrogen continuum opacity for the bound-bound H i spectrum

In Fig. 8 we show how the hydrogen recombination spectrum is modiﬁed because of the additional free electrons appearing due to the absorption of He i photons in the hydrogen continuum absorption. Most of them recombine after a very short time through the main channel of hydrogen recombination at high redshifts, which is the Ly-α transition (e.g. see Fig. 10 in Rubiño-Martín et al. 2006), producing a “new” hydrogen Ly-α feature at $z \approx 1870$. In Fig. 9 we show the shape of that line separately. However, as explained in Sect. 3.3, the small addi- tion of electrons to the continuum also produces changes in the rest of the hydrogen spectrum, as shown in Figure 8. In some cases (see e.g. the high-frequency wing of the Paschen series) the changes are important at the level of 10 percent. This feature is due to the new Hα feature. On average, the new bound-bound H i spectrum is slightly higher in amplitude, as a consequence of the additional photons appearing in this process. Because the re-processing of He i photons occurs at high redshifts (above $z = 1800$), the two-photon continuum line is practically unchanged.

5.3. Negative features in the He i spectrum.

One of the most interesting results of our computations is the existence of two negative features in the He i recombination spectrum. In order to identify the transitions which contribute most to those features, in Table 1 we provide a list with the position and amplitudes of all the individual lines which are found to be negative at an amplitude smaller than $-1 \times 10^{-29}$ J m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ from our $n_{\text{max}} = 20$ computation. To help in the discussion, we also provide in Table 2 a list with the position and amplitudes of

![Fig. 5. A comparison of the He i recombination spectrum for $n_{\text{max}} = 30$ with (top and middle panels) and without (bottom panel) the inclusion of the effect of hydrogen continuum opacity. Upper panel corresponds to the case of full redistribution of photons in the He i $2^3P_1 - 1^1S_0$ resonance, while the middle panel corresponds to our final (fudged) computation for the partial redistribution case (see text for details). Ordered in this way, from top to bottom we have progressively a slower recombination, so all the features become broader. In all three cases, a solid line indicates positive values, while a dot-dashed line indicates negative ones. Two-photon decay continuum $2^1S_0 - 1^1S_0$ is also included as dotted line in both panels.](image-url)
all the positive individual lines which are found to have an amplitude larger than $1 \times 10^{-29}$ J m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ from the same computation.

We now discuss in detail each one of these two negative features. Figures 10 and 11 present them separately, together with the main contributors according to the list of transitions in Table 1 and Table 2. For completeness, we also discuss in this subsection the feature which is associated to the $2^3 S_1 \rightarrow 2^1 P_1$ singlet-singlet transition. Figure 12 presents the contribution of this line to the total spectrum in that region. Although this particular line is negative, the overall spectrum in the region is positive due to the added contribution of other lines.

5.3.1. First negative feature ($\nu \approx 145$ GHz).

As Fig. 10 indicates, the largest negative contribution is coming from one of the 10830 Å fine-structure lines. The appearance of this feature in absorption can be understand as follows. The channel connecting the $2^3 S_1$ triplet level with the singlet ground state via the two-photon decay is extremely slow ($\sim 4 \times 10^{-9}$ s$^{-1}$), and therefore renders this transition a "bottleneck" for those electrons recombining through the $2^3 S_1$ triplet state. Since the electrons in the $2^3 P_1$ triplet level can reach the $1^1 S_0$ level via the much faster ($\sim 177$ s$^{-1}$) He I $2^3 P_1 \rightarrow 1^1 S_0$ intercombination-transition, this provides a more viable route. On the other hand the $2^3 P_0$ and $2^3 P_2$ do not have a direct path to the singlet ground state. But as one can see from Table 2 this restriction can be avoided by taking the route $2^3 P_0 \rightarrow 2^3 S_1 \rightarrow 2^3 P_1 \rightarrow 1^1 S_0$. The relative amplitude of these lines seen in Fig. 10 also suggests this interpretation.
Table 1. Positions and amplitudes of all the negative lines in the He I recombination spectrum for $n_{\text{max}} = 20$ with peak intensities smaller than $-1 \times 10^{-29}$ J m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$. We show the corresponding terms for the lower and upper states, the peak intensity at the minimum, the central frequency ($v_0$) as observed today, the redshift ($z_{\text{min}}$) at the minimum, and the wavelength for that transition in the rest frame ($\lambda_{\text{rest}}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
<th>$\Delta \nu$ at minimum</th>
<th>$v_0$</th>
<th>$z_{\text{min}}$</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{rest}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2^3S_1$</td>
<td>$2^1P_1$</td>
<td>$-1.1 \times 10^{-28}$</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1855</td>
<td>20590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^3D_2$</td>
<td>$4^3F_4$</td>
<td>$-1.1 \times 10^{-28}$</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1875</td>
<td>18690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^3D_3$</td>
<td>$4^3F_4$</td>
<td>$-1.5 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1875</td>
<td>18690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^3D_2$</td>
<td>$5^3F_5$</td>
<td>$-1.2 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>1875</td>
<td>12790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4^3D_2$</td>
<td>$5^3F_5$</td>
<td>$-1.2 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1875</td>
<td>40380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^3S_1$</td>
<td>$2^1P_1$</td>
<td>$-2.1 \times 10^{-28}$</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>10830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^3P_1$</td>
<td>$3^3D_2$</td>
<td>$-1.6 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>1870</td>
<td>5877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^3P_2$</td>
<td>$3^3D_2$</td>
<td>$-5.9 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>1875</td>
<td>5877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3^3D_2$</td>
<td>$4^3F_4$</td>
<td>$-1.0 \times 10^{-28}$</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1870</td>
<td>18690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3^3D_3$</td>
<td>$4^3F_4$</td>
<td>$-1.4 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1875</td>
<td>18690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4^3D_2$</td>
<td>$5^3F_4$</td>
<td>$-1.1 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1875</td>
<td>40380</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Positions and amplitudes of all the positive lines in the He I recombination spectrum for $n_{\text{max}} = 20$ with peak intensities greater than $2 \times 10^{-29}$ J m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$. We show the corresponding terms for the lower and upper states, the peak intensity at the maximum, the central frequency ($v_0$) as observed today, and the wavelength for that transition in the rest frame ($\lambda_{\text{rest}}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
<th>$\Delta \nu$ at maximum</th>
<th>$v_0$</th>
<th>$z_{\text{max}}$</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{rest}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1^3S_0$</td>
<td>$2^1P_1$</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-28}$</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2550</td>
<td>584.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1^3S_0$</td>
<td>$2^1P_1$</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{-28}$</td>
<td>2430</td>
<td>2085</td>
<td>591.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^3S_0$</td>
<td>$3^3P_1$</td>
<td>$7.5 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>1885</td>
<td>5017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^3S_0$</td>
<td>$4^3P_1$</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>1885</td>
<td>3966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^3P_1$</td>
<td>$3^3S_0$</td>
<td>$2.4 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>1885</td>
<td>7283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^3P_1$</td>
<td>$3^3D_2$</td>
<td>$4.6 \times 10^{-28}$</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>1880</td>
<td>6680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^3P_1$</td>
<td>$4^3D_2$</td>
<td>$8.0 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>1885</td>
<td>4923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^3P_1$</td>
<td>$5^3D_2$</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>1885</td>
<td>4389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3^3D_2$</td>
<td>$4^3F_1$</td>
<td>$1.7 \times 10^{-28}$</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1875</td>
<td>18700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3^3D_2$</td>
<td>$5^3F_4$</td>
<td>$3.1 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>1880</td>
<td>12790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3^3D_2$</td>
<td>$4^3F_5$</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-28}$</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1875</td>
<td>18700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3^3D_2$</td>
<td>$5^3F_4$</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>1880</td>
<td>12790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4^3D_2$</td>
<td>$5^3F_5$</td>
<td>$2.4 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1889</td>
<td>40410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4^3F_1$</td>
<td>$5^3G_4$</td>
<td>$2.3 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1885</td>
<td>40490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^3S_1$</td>
<td>$2^1P_0$</td>
<td>$3.2 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>1890</td>
<td>10830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^3S_1$</td>
<td>$2^1P_1$</td>
<td>$8.4 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>10830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^3S_1$</td>
<td>$3^3P_2$</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>1890</td>
<td>3894</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.2. Second negative feature ($\nu \approx 270$ GHz).

The second overall negative feature in the bound-bound He I recombination spectrum is mainly due to the superposition of the negative 5877 Å and positive 6680 Å-lines (see Fig. 11). Here it is interesting that in Table 2 no strong positive triplet-singlet transition appears, which actually starts with a $3^3D_2$-state. However, as Table 1 shows a strong flow from the $3^3D_2$-state to higher $F$-level exists, again permitting electrons to pass to the singlet-ground level because of singlet-triplet mixing. This then also contributes to the close-by emission feature via the chain $2^3P_1 \rightarrow 3^3D_2 \rightarrow 4^3F_2 \rightarrow 3^3D_2 \rightarrow 2^1P_1$.

5.3.3. The spectrum in the vicinity of $\nu \approx 80$ GHz.

Fig. 12 shows that in this spectral region, there is a clear low-intensity feature in the overall spectrum, which is produced by the $2^3S_0 \rightarrow 2^1P_1$ singlet-singlet transition, that contributes as a negative line. Comparing the $2^1S_0$ two-photon decay rate ($A_2^0S_0 \rightarrow 2^3P_1$), with the transition rate to the $2^1P_1$, shows that at $z \approx 2500$ the latter is a factor of $2 \times 10^4$ larger. Therefore, whenever escape in the He I $2^1P_1 \rightarrow 1^1S_0$ line substantially controls the rate of helium recombination, the $2^1S_0 \rightarrow 2^1P_1$ singlet-singlet transition appears in absorption. As explained above, accounting for the hydrogen continuum absorption this is the case at all redshifts of importance.

However, the situation is a bit more involved, since several other transitions contribute to the negative ($3^3D_2 \rightarrow 4^3F_3$, $3^3D_3 \rightarrow 4^3F_3$, $3^3D_2 \rightarrow 4^3F_3$ and $3^3D_2 \rightarrow 4^3F_1$) and positive ($4^3F_3 \rightarrow 3^3D_2$ and $4^3F_3 \rightarrow 3^3D_2$) centered at $\nu \approx 85$ GHz. The superposition of these lines then yields an oscillatory feature between 80 and 90 GHz, which although always positive, still shows the clear signature from the 20590 Å line. Here it is important to realize that several triplet-singlet transitions are involved, allowing triplet atoms to decay further to the sin-
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5. Discussion

6.1. Uncertainties in our modelling of the helium atom

6.1.1. Completeness of the atomic model

The probably largest uncertainty is connected with our model of neutral helium. First of all, for our final bound-bound He\textsc{i} recombination spectrum (see Fig. 1) we only included levels with $n \leq 30$.

In this Section we now critically discuss the results presented in this paper for the helium recombination spectrum. We expect that an overall ~ 10% - 30% uncertainty is associated with our modelling of neutral helium, while neglected physical processes are expected to lead to modification of the resulting helium bound-bound spectral line intensities.

We would like to mention that in addition to the aspects discussed below another ~ 30 - 40% rather smooth contribution to the total recombination emission can be expected from the free-bound components of hydrogen (Chluba & Sunyaev 2006a) and helium, possibly with stronger signatures at high frequencies.

5.4. The He\textsc{ii} recombination spectrum

The recombination history of He\textsc{ii} is the one which is most close to the Saha-solution (e.g. see Fig. 15 in Switzer & Hirata 2007b). Therefore the release of photons occurs during a shorter period than in the case of H\textsc{i} and He\textsc{i} recombination. In comparison to hydrogen the release of He\textsc{iii} recombination photon happens at roughly 4 times higher redshift and temperature (roughly 1400 for hydrogen as compared with 6000 for He\textsc{ii}).

As Fig. 1 shows, the high frequency feature always appear on the red wing of the corresponding hydrogen lines. However at low frequencies the oscillatory feature drop out of phase with the hydrogen lines. It is also interesting to see that the He\textsc{ii} and He\textsc{i} bound-bound spectra show constructive (at $\nu \geq 10$ GHz) and also destructive ($\nu \sim 2 - 5$ GHz) interference. As mentioned above, this fact strongly increases the probability to observe these features in the future.

5.5. Changes of the spectra due to electron scattering

The procedure to approximately include the effects of photon scattering off free electron is outlined in the Appendix C. However, here we neglect the corrections to the recombination history and recombination spectra arising from the changes in the escape of photons from the optically thick resonances, but these are expected to be rather small.

In Fig. 13 we show the comparison of the Doppler broadening and recoil term for different redshift. During the epoch of hydrogen recombination Doppler broadening is less than 1%, while it exceeds ~ 2% during He\textsc{i} → He\textsc{i} recombination, and reaches ~ 7% at the beginning of He\textsc{iii} → He\textsc{ii} recombination. We also show the strength of the recoil term for $x_y = 1$ and $x_y = 40$. The latter case provides an estimate for the equivalent of the Lyman-\(\alpha\) line of the corresponding atomic species. One can see that during hydrogen recombination the recoil term is completely negligible. During He\textsc{i} → He\textsc{i} recombination the He\textsc{i} $2^1P_1 - 1^3S_0$ line is shifted by $\lesssim 1\%$ and only for the He\textsc{ii} $\Delta \nu / \nu = 10^{-4}$ (electron scattering)
As is known from computation of the hydrogen recombination spectrum (Rubino-Martín et al. 2006; Chluba & Sunyaev 2006a; Chluba et al. 2007) at low frequencies the level of emission strongly depends on the completeness of the atomic model. Therefore we expect rather significant modifications of the He I spectrum at frequencies below a few GHz. Computations including up to 100-shells or more are probably necessary. In the case of the bound-bound He II spectrum, for which we already included $l$-resolved 100-shells, the results are probably converged with similar accuracy as the one for hydrogen (see Chluba et al. 2007) for discussion.

We also have not considered quadrupole transitions in our computations. However, from the typical values of the oscillator strengths (see e.g. Cann & Thakkar 2002), one would expect that their inclusion should produce small changes.

6.1.2. Photoionization cross-sections

The next large uncertainty is due to the use of re-scaled hydrogenic approximations for the high-$n$ photoionization cross-sections. We expect differences at a level of 10% – 20% due to these. Here in particular the exact frequency dependence of the cross-section may influence the importance of stimulated recombinations, which become important for excited levels. Even for $n = 5$ there are notable differences, when using hydrogenic formulae instead of the fits by Smits (1996) and Benjamin et al. (1999). Moreover, we find typical differences of the order of 5-10% (and in some lines 20%) between these fits and the photoionization cross-sections obtained from the TOPbase database (Cunto et al. 1993).

Furthermore, as shown in Fig. 1 of Chluba et al. (2007) for hydrogen, due to the strong dependence of the Gaunt-factor on $l$, for large $n$ most of the recombinations actually go via levels with small $l$. In particular the S and P states of neutral helium should still have significant non-hydrogenic contribution for $n > 10$, which we did not account for in our model, again yielding a rather large uncertainty for He II $\rightarrow$ He I recombination. Due to the full hydrogenic character for the wave functions of the He II atom, there is no significant uncertainty due to the cross-sections for He III $\rightarrow$ He II recombination.

6.1.3. Energies and transition rates

In terms of level energies and transition rates, our model of the neutral helium atom is probably accurate on a level of $1\%-10\%$. The main uncertainty is connected with the neglect of singlet-triplet mixing for $n > 10$. As Table 11.12 in Drake (1996) shows, for $n = 10$ the P and D states are still nearly orthogonal, while the F states are reasonably mixed, and mixing is practically complete for all the other levels. However, there are reasons why this may not be of so extreme importance: the highly excited levels ($n \geq 10$) are already very close to the continuum. Therefore the route via the continuum leads to a quasi-mixing of the high levels. In addition, the cascade of electrons to lower levels, where mixing is fully included, is very fast, such that no significant blocking of electrons in the higher levels is expected. However, the emission of low frequency photons probably will be underestimated. Here, a more rigorous analysis is required.

6.2. Additional physics missing in our computation

6.2.1. H I continuum opacity

As discussed in Sect. 3, the hypothesis of complete redistribution is not valid for the He I 2$^2P_1 - 1^1S_0$ line. This assumption was usually very good in the context of hydrogen lines (Grachev 1989; Rybicki & dell’Antonio 1994), in particular due to the presence of a huge amount of CMB blackbody photons, which allow electrons to pass to higher levels while they are undergoing a resonant scattering event. However, it is not the case here, because of the additional continuum opacity due to small traces of neutral hydrogen during helium recombination.

In order to efficiently compute the escape probability in the He I 2$^2P_1 - 1^1S_0$ line, we make an ansatz about its redshift dependence. This means that we fudge the no redistribution solution to the escape probability with a certain factor which is obtained from a diffusion code which treats in detail the escape problem. Although this simplification may introduce errors in the frequency spectrum of the order of few percent, we consider it acceptable given the uncertainty that we have in the atomic model and the photoionization cross-sections.

We also made the simplification of assuming the validity of complete redistribution for the He I 2$^2P_1 - 1^1S_0$ intercombination-line. The exact treatment of the escape of photons in this line may lead also to differences in the spectrum of the order of ten percent. In agreement with Switzer & Hirata (2007b) our more detailed computations (Chluba et al. 2008, in
intercombination-resonance after a very short period of red-shifting. The maximum of the He II Lyman-α line is comparable with those coming from the spin-forbidden transitions. In addition this will accelerate He III → He II-recombination, bringing it even closer to the Saha-solution.

6.2.2. He II continuum opacity

The absorption of He II Lyman-α photons by the small fraction of neutral helium atoms during He III → He II recombination will lead to the appearance of additional He I-photons, just like in the case of hydrogen (see Sect. 5.2). But since the number of photons emitted in the He II Lyman-α line is comparable to the total number of helium nuclei, this will be a notable change. Most obviously the He II Lyman-α line will nearly disappear. In addition this will accelerate He III → He II-recombination, bringing it even closer to the Saha-solution.

6.2.3. Feedback processes

As mentioned in Sect. 4, for He II → He I-recombination one of the probably most important processes that we neglected in our computations so far is feedback. As we have seen in Sect. 5 (e.g., Fig. 7), the total number of photons emitted in the He I 2P01 → 1S0 transition is comparable with those coming from the spin-forbidden 2P11 → 1S0 line. The former has an energy that is larger by ΔE/ν ~ 1%. Therefore one expects the He I 2P01 → 1S0 photons to interact with the He II 2P11 → 1S0 intercombination-resonance after a very short period of red-shifting. The maximum of the He I 2P01 → 1S0 line appears at z ~ 2550 (see Table 2), such that the bulk of these photons reach the spin-forbidden transition at z ~ 2520. At this redshift the optical depth in the spin-forbidden line is ≤ 1, so that this feedback will not be complete. Still one should check this process more carefully.

As mentioned above, there is some pure continuum absorption, far away from the resonances where resonance scattering can be neglected, which is not included into our program. This process should also lead to the re-processing of the remaining He I 2P01 → 1S0 and He II Lyman-α photon, such that practically only the hydrogen Lyman-α line will survive at the end, but potentially with interesting traces of the recombination history from earlier epochs. Also the feedback due to photons emitted in the He II nP01 → 1S0-series (see Switzer & Hirata (2007a) and also Chluba & Sunyaev (2007a) for more detail) and similarly for He II, should lead to some modifications. However, these are expected to be rather small.

6.2.4. Two-photon decays

The simplest addition to the two-photon processes is the inclusion of stimulated emission as suggested earlier for hydrogen (Chluba & Sunyaev 2006b) and also included by Hirata & Switzer (2007) for helium. These should modify the 2s two-photon continua at the percent level. However, we have shown that due to the effect of redshift on the two-photon absorption, only 8% of all helium atoms reach the ground state via this channel. Hence one does not expect large changes in the He I recombination spectrum.

For the epoch of He III → He II recombination this may be a bit different, since electrons in higher levels will feel the change in the support of the levels from below, because at that time the two-photon decay channel is more important. In our computations ~ 44% of all electrons reach the ground-state of He II via the two-photon channel, and the rest passes trough the He I Lyman-series. In addition one should include the re-absorption of escaped helium Lyman-α photons by the two-photon process as discussed by Khlopenkó & Ivančhik (2006) for the case of hydrogen.

Also one could think about the two-photon decays from higher levels (Switzer & Hirata 2007a; Chluba & Sunyaev 2007c), but both in terms of additional photons and increase of the overall rate of recombination one expects corrections at a level less than 1%.

6.2.5. Collisional processes

In the computations for this paper, collisional processes have not been taken into account. As discussed in Chluba et al. (2007) for the case of the hydrogen recombination spectrum, because of the large entropy of the Universe, collisional processes only modify the populations of the hydrogen levels for very high shells. In that paper it is shown that l-changing collisions need to be included only for shells above n > 30 ~ 40, while n-changing collisions can be neglected even for shells as high as n ~ 100.

In the case of helium recombination, the same qualitative behaviour is expected. Although in this case there are more electrons and protons per helium atom than in the case of hydrogen, we still expect a small effect of collisions, and which mainly would affect the high-n shells, i.e. it would only have an impact on the low frequency tail of the recombination spectrum presented in Fig. 1. A detailed consideration of the importance of collisions on the results will be left for a future work.

7. Conclusion

We have presented detailed computations of the contributions to the cosmological recombination spectrum due to bound-bound transitions in primordial helium. The re-processing of He II 2P01 → 1S0 and He II 2P11 → 1S0 intercombination-photons by neutral hydrogen has been taken into account, yielding a significant acceleration of He II → He I and hence much more narrow features than without the inclusion of this process. In addition, some hydrogen photons are released prior to the actual epoch of hydrogen recombination around z ~ 1100 ~ 1500, with distinct traces due to the hydrogen Ly-α transition (see Fig. 1).

Probably the most interesting result is the presence of two negative features in the He II → He I recombinational spectrum. This is qualitatively different from any of the other spectra discussed so far (H I and He III). One of these negative features is associated to fine-structure transitions in neutral helium.

As illustrated in Fig. 1, the total cosmological recombination spectrum contains non-trivial signatures of all recombination epochs. We emphasize this fact in Figure 15, were we present a detailed view, using linear intensity scale, of three regions in the recombination spectrum covering the low, intermediate and high frequency domain. Although the relative number of helium to hydrogen nuclei is rather small (≈ 8%), constructive and destructive interference of the oscillatory emission patterns at low frequencies, and strong non-overlapping lines at high frequencies may provide a unique opportunity to determine some of the key cosmological parameters, and to confront our current picture of recombination with experimental evidence. Interestingly
the signatures due to helium may allow a direct determination of its relative abundance, much before the first appearance of stars, and as pointed out in Sunyaev & Chluba (2007), these measurements do not suffer from limitations set by cosmic variance.

As we outlined in Sect. 6, several neglected processes have to be studied in connection with helium recombination, in order to obtain definite predictions, possibly with additional revisions. Nevertheless, all the results presented here strongly depend on our understanding of atomic physics and the processes in the early Universe. Currently in particular the data for neutral helium may still not be sufficient. Here help from atomic physicist is required in order to increase the availability of more complete accurate and user-friendly atomic data, in particular for the photoionization cross-sections and transition rates.

All the numerical predictions for the recombinational lines obtained in this paper, which were used to produce all the figures in this paper, can be downloaded from http://www.iac.es/galeria/jalberto/recomb.
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Appendix A: Voigt-profile

Evaluations involving the well-known Voigt-profile (e.g. see Mihalas 1978):

\[ \varphi(v) = \frac{a}{\pi^{3/2} \Delta \nu_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x^2}}{a^2 + (x - \nu_0)^2} \, \frac{dx}{\Delta \nu_0} \Phi(v) \]  

are usually extremely time-consuming. However, convenient approximations can be given in the very distant wings and also close to the center of the line. In Eq. (A.1) \( x = \frac{v - \nu_0}{\Delta \nu_0} \) is frequency variable, and the Voigt-parameter and Doppler-width of the line are defined by

\[ a = \frac{A_{21} \, \nu_0}{4 \, \Delta \nu_0} = 1.6 \times 10^{-3} \left[ \frac{(1 + z)}{2500} \right] \]  

\[ \frac{\Delta \nu_0}{\nu_0} = \frac{2 \kappa_0}{m_H c^2} = 1.7 \times 10^{-5} \left[ \frac{(1 + z)}{2500} \right]^{1/2} \]  

respectively. Here \( \nu_0 \) is transition frequency and \( A_{21} \) the Einstein coefficient for spontaneous emission for the considered resonance. \( m_{He} = 4m_p \) is the mass of the helium atom. Note that for the spin-forbidden transitions this condition is not fulfilled. For \( z \leq 30 \) we use the approximation based on the Dawson integral up to sixth order as described in Mihalas (1978, Sect. 9.2, p. 279). In the distant wings of the line \( (z \geq 30) \) we apply the Taylor expansion

\[ \Phi_{\text{wings}} = \frac{a}{\pi a^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{3 - 2a^2}{2a^2} + \frac{15 - 20a^2}{4a^4} + \frac{105(1 - 2a^2)}{8a^6} \right] \]  

For the \( \text{He} \, ^2P_1 \rightarrow ^1S_0 \) and spin-forbidden \( ^2P_1 \rightarrow ^1S_0 \) transition we checked that the Voigt function is represented with relative accuracy better than \( 10^{-6} \) in the whole range of frequencies and redshifts. Using Eq. A.2, on the red side of the resonance one can approximate the integral \( X = \int_\nu \Phi(x) \, dx \) by:

\[ X_{\text{wings}} = \frac{a}{\pi^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{3 - 2a^2}{6a^2} + \frac{3 - 4a^2}{4a^4} + \frac{15(1 - 2a^2)}{8a^6} \right] \]  

as long as \( x \sim 30 \). Now \( a \sim 10^{-3} \), this shows that the distant wings only a very small fraction of photons is emitted. Using the symmetry of the Voigt-profile one finds \( \chi(x) = 1 - \chi(-x) \), such that Eq. A.3 is also applicable for \( x > 30 \).

Appendix B: Computation of the \( \Delta P_{\text{esc}} \)

In this appendix, we focus on some numerical issues which are relevant for the evaluation of the integral in Eq. 2, which gives the escape probability in the case of complete redistribution of the photons in the resonance.

Fig. 15. Relative contribution of the \( \text{H} \, ^1P_1 \), \( \text{He} \, ^1P_1 \) and \( \text{He} \, ^1P_1 \) bound-bound recombination spectra to the total spectrum at high- (top), intermediate- (middle) and low- frequencies (low). Helium recombination spectra (both \( \text{He} \, ^1P_1 \) and \( \text{He} \, ^1P_1 \) ) modify the shapes of the existing hydrogen features, shift the peaks position and introduce new features which represent changes of 30-40% respect to the \( \text{H} \, ^1P_1 \) recombination spectrum alone.

B.1. Analytical approximation of \( \Delta P_{\text{esc}} \)

For the \( \text{He} \, ^1P_1 \rightarrow ^1S_0 \)-series photons \( \gamma_L \gg 1 \) at epochs important for helium recombination. In particular, for these one can also expect that \( \gamma_L \ll \gamma_z \) at the relevant redshifts. Therefore the integrand of Eq. (2) will cutoff exponentially due to the factor \( e^{-\gamma_z t} \), while the term \( 1 - e^{-\gamma_L} \) does not change extremely fast. For the spin-forbidden transitions this condition is not fulfilled.
Using $g(x)$ as variable one can rewrite the integral (2) as
\[
\Delta P_{\text{esc}} = \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} \tau_S e^{-r \Delta x' \chi} \left[ 1 - e^{-r \tau_S(x' - x)} \right] d\Delta x',
\]
(B.1)
with $\Delta x' = x' - x$. The problem now is the computation of $\tau_S(x', \Delta x')$. From Eq. (3b) for $|x' - x|/\chi < 1$ it follows
\[
\tau_S(x', x) = \tau_S(\nu'|\nu - \nu) = \eta_L(\nu) \Delta \nu_0 (x' - x),
\]
(B.2)
with $\eta_L = \frac{c^2 \Phi^L(x)}{\Phi^L(x)}$. Assuming that $\Delta \nu = x' - x$ is sufficiently small one may write $\Delta \nu = \Delta \nu_0(x')/\Phi(x)$. It is easy to estimate that this approximation is always very good within the Doppler core, while it is rather crude in the distant wings. Inserting this into Eq. (B.1) it is possible to carry out the inner integral analytically, yielding:
\[
\Delta P_{\text{esc}}^{2D} = \int_0^1 dx \left[ 1 - e^{-r \tau_S(x'|x) - k(\chi) \left[ 1 - e^{-r \tau_S(x'|x) \left( 1 - x \right)} \right]} \right],
\]
(B.3)
with $\tau_S(x') = \tau_S(\nu)(\nu)/\Phi(x)$ and $k(\chi) = \frac{c^2 \Phi^L(x)}{\Phi^L(x)}$, where both $\nu$ and $x$ are functions of $\chi$. Numerically this integral is much easier to take than the full 2D-integral given by Eq. (2). As we will show below this approximation works very well at low redshift.

B.2. Numerical evaluation of $\Delta P_{\text{esc}}$

To carry out the 2-dimension integral (2) is a cumbersome task. We used different integrators from the NASA
class library and only after several independent attempts finally reached agreement. It is extremely important to include the full domain of frequencies, extending the integration to the very distant wings of the resonance. However, due to the extreme differences in the Sobolev escape probability for the He i n'P_1 - 1'S_0-series ($\tau_S = 10^3$) and intercombination lines ($\tau_S = 1$) different numerical schemes are required. In order to assure convergence of our numerical integrator we made sure that we can successfully reproduce several limiting cases, for which analytical approximations can be found. In particular, we reproduced the approximation given in the previous paragraph.

In order to understand the behaviour of the integrand in Eq. (2) we define the inner integral
\[
F(\chi) = \tau_S \int_x^{\infty} \phi(x') \phi(x') e^{-r \tau_S(x'|x)} \left[ 1 - e^{-r \tau_S(x'|x)} \right] dx',
\]
(B.4)
such that $\Delta P_{\text{esc}} = \int_0^1 F(\chi) d\chi$. For the He i n'P_1 - 1'S_0-series one always has $\tau_S \gg 1$, such that the exponential factor $e^{-r \tau_S(x'|x)} = e^{-r \tau_S x'}$ is dominating the behaviour of the integrand. For given $\chi$ we numerically determined the frequency $x'$ such that $e^{-r \tau_S(x'|x)} \ll \epsilon$, typically with $\epsilon = 10^{-16}$. This turned out to be rather time-consuming, but we found that even within the Doppler core a sufficient estimate for $x'$ could be obtained using the wing expansion of the Voigt-profile, yielding the condition
\[
x' - \chi = \frac{a}{\chi} \left[ 1 - \chi \right] \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\tau_S}.
\]
(B.5)
However, here we typically chose $\epsilon = 10^{-25}$, in order to achieve agreement with the more rigorous treatment. For the spin-forbidden transitions this simplification is not possible, since none of the exponential factors really saturate. The full range of frequencies $x \leq x'$ had to be considered in this case. In practise we never went beyond 10^6 Doppler width.

In Fig. B.1 we show $F(\chi)$ for the He i 2P_1 - 1S_0 line and the He i 2P_1 - 1S_0 intercombination-transition. For the He i 2P_1 - 1S_0 line the inner integrand becomes very broad at high redshifts, with significant contributions to $\Delta P_{\text{esc}}$ out to several thousand Doppler width, while it becomes rather narrow at low redshifts. However, we found that the outer integral for $\Delta P_{\text{esc}}$ nearly always has to be carried out within a very large range around the line center. One can also see that the approximation of $F(\chi)$ following from Eq. (B.3) works extremely well at low redshifts. For the He i 2P_1 - 1S_0 intercombination-transition the main contributions to $\Delta P_{\text{esc}}$ always come from within the Doppler core and the wing contribution is $\sim 10^{-8}$ - $10^{-7}$ times smaller. For the full numerical integration it is therefore possible to restrict the outer integral to a few hundred Doppler width. In Fig. B.1 one can again see that at low redshift the approximation from Eq. (B.3) works very well.

Appendix C: Inclusion of line broadening due to electron scattering

The photons released in the process of recombination scatter repeatedly off moving electrons. In the low temperature limit this process can be described using the Kompaneets-equation. Neglecting the small difference in the photons and electron temperature at redshifts $z \leq 500$ and introducing the dimensionless frequency variable $x_\nu = h\nu/k T_{*e}$, neglecting induced effects and the recoil term for an initially narrow line, centered at $x_\nu$, and released at $z_{\text{em}}$, one can find the solution (Zeldovich & Sunyaev 1969, Sunyaev & Titarchuk 1980)
\[
\Delta \nu(x_\nu, z) = 0 \big|_{\text{Doppler}} = \frac{x_\nu^3}{2 x_{\nu,0}^5} \frac{\Delta \nu(x_\nu, z_{\text{em}})}{\sqrt{\Delta x_{\nu,0}}},
\]
where $\Delta \nu(x_\nu, z_{\text{em}})$ denotes the spectral distortion at frequency $x_\nu$ and redshift $z_{\text{em}}$ without the inclusion of electrons scattering, and the Compton $\gamma$-parameter is given by
\[
y_{\gamma}(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{dT_{*e}}{mc^2} e^{N(Z, \gamma)} \frac{d\gamma}{\gamma}.
\]
(C.2)
Note that $N(Z, \gamma)$ is the photon occupation number from a pure blackbody, is independent of redshift. As Eq. (C.1) show due to the Doppler effect the line broadens by (compare also Pozdniakov et al. 1979)
\[
\Delta \nu \bigg|_{\text{Doppler}} - 2 \sqrt{\gamma} \ln \frac{z}{z_{\text{em}}},
\]
(C.3)
and shifts towards higher frequencies by a factor $e^{3\nu_0}$.

Also including the recoil term, to our knowledge, no analytic solution to the Kompaneets equation has been given in the literature. However, to estimate the effect on the spectrum one can neglect the diffusion term and finds that the line shifts by

$$\Delta \nu = -\nu_0 x \nu_{\text{rec}}$$

towards lower frequencies. There is also some line broadening connected with the recoil effect, but it is completely negligible in comparison with the Doppler broadening. From Eq. (C.4) it is clear that the high frequency lines will be affected most. Note that in contrast to the recoil term Doppler broadening is independent of the initial photon frequency.

To account for the effect of Doppler broadening on the final spectrum one only has to integrate Eq. (C.1) for fixed $x_0$ over all possible $x$; $0$ for a given transition. The emission redshift $z_{\text{em}}$ of the contribution can be found with

$$z_{\text{em}} = 1 + \frac{1}{1 + z_{\text{em}}}$$

Afterwards in addition the sum over all transitions has to be carried out, yielding the final results. To estimate the influence of the recoil effect one can simply add the recoil shift of each line to the frequency before summing over all possible transitions.
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